## **Randomized Algorithms for the Hiring Problem**

### 1. Problem Statement

The **Hiring Problem** models the process of hiring the best candidate for a job. The problem assumes that candidates arrive sequentially, and we must decide immediately whether to hire or reject a candidate, without recalling past candidates.

### 2. Naïve Deterministic Approach

In a deterministic setting, the best strategy is to hire the first best candidate encountered. However, without knowledge of future candidates, we might hire a suboptimal choice early on. A deterministic strategy does not work well if better candidates arrive later.

### 3. Randomized Algorithm for the Hiring Problem

A **randomized approach** improves the probability of selecting the best candidate. The idea is to divide the selection process into two phases:

- Phase 1 (Learning Phase): Observe the first `k` candidates but do not hire anyone.
- **Phase 2 (Selection Phase):** Hire the first candidate who is better than all the candidates observed in Phase 1.

This method ensures a better chance of selecting the best candidate.

## 4. The Randomized Algorithm

### **Algorithm Steps**

- 1. Choose a random integer `k` (typically `k = n/e` where `e  $\approx 2.718$ `).
- 2. **Observe** the first `**k**` candidates but do not hire.
- 3. Select the first candidate that is better than all observed candidates.
- 4. If no such candidate is found, stick with the last candidate.

## 5. Numerical Example

## **Problem Setup**

Candidate	Rank (1 = best)
A	3
В	6
С	1
D	5
E	2
F	7
G	4

Suppose there are **7 candidates**, each with a unique ranking (1 = best, 7 = worst):

We assume candidates arrive in the order `A  $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C  $\rightarrow$  D  $\rightarrow$  E  $\rightarrow$  F  $\rightarrow$  G`.

### **Step-by-Step Execution**

#### Step 1: Choose `k`

For `n = 7`, an optimal choice is `k =  $[7/e] = [7/2.718] \approx 2$ `. So, we observe the first **2** candidates (`A` and `B`) but do not hire anyone.

#### Step 2: Observe First `k` Candidates (Learning Phase)

- Candidate **A** arrives (Rank **3**). **Do not hire**.
- Candidate **B** arrives (Rank **6**). **Do not hire**.

At the end of this phase, the **best candidate seen so far is A (Rank 3)**.

#### **Step 3: Selection Phase**

- Candidate C arrives (Rank 1). Since 1 < 3 (best seen so far), hire C.
- Stop hiring, even if better candidates arrive later.

Thus, Candidate C is selected, who is actually the best candidate!

# 6. Analysis of Probability of Success

### **Success Probability Calculation**

To succeed, we must select the absolute best candidate. The probability of this happening follows the **Secretary Problem**:

$$P({
m Success})pprox rac{1}{e}pprox 0.37$$

That means the randomized approach selects the best candidate **about 37% of the time**, which is significantly better than a naive deterministic method.

# 7. Advantages of Randomized Hiring

- Higher success rate (37%) compared to naive strategies.
- Works without prior knowledge of candidate distribution.
- Efficient since decisions are made in O(n) time.

#### 8. Disadvantages

- Still **not guaranteed** to pick the best candidate.
- Requires **randomness**, which might not be practical in some real-world hiring processes.

# 9. Conclusion

Randomized algorithms provide an elegant solution to the hiring problem, significantly increasing the probability of selecting the best candidate compared to naive approaches. By using a **learning phase followed by an optimal stopping rule**, we can improve hiring outcomes.

Example-2

## 1. Problem Statement

The **Hiring Problem** models a scenario where we want to hire the best candidate for a job. However, we must make hiring decisions **immediately** without the ability to recall previously rejected candidates.

We assume:

- There are **n** candidates.
- Each candidate has a unique rank (1 = best, n = worst).
- Candidates arrive in a **random order**.
- Once a candidate is rejected, they cannot be recalled.

# 2. The Randomized Algorithm

The randomized selection strategy follows these steps:

- 1. Choose a threshold `k`, usually `k = n/e` (where `e  $\approx$  2.718`).
- 2. **Observe** the first `**k**` candidates without hiring.
- 3. Hire the first candidate who is better than all observed candidates.
- 4. If no such candidate appears, hire the last one.

#### Why Does This Work?

- The first `k` candidates **serve as a benchmark** (learning phase).
- The remaining candidates have a chance to be compared against an established standard.
- This approach increases the probability of hiring the best candidate.

## 3. Step-by-Step Numerical Example

Let's consider **7 candidates** arriving in random order. Their **true rankings** are:

Candidate	Rank (1 = best)
A	4
В	2
С	6
D	1
E	5
F	3
G	7

Candidates arrive in this random order:

 $C \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow D$ 

#### Step 1: Compute `k`

For n = 7, the optimal threshold is:

$$k = \lfloor rac{7}{e} 
floor = \lfloor rac{7}{2.718} 
floor = \lfloor 2.57 
floor = 2$$

This means we **observe** the first **2** candidates without hiring.

#### Step 2: Learning Phase (`k=2` Observations)

- Candidate **C** arrives (Rank **6**)  $\rightarrow$  Observe but do not hire.
- Candidate A arrives (Rank 4)  $\rightarrow$  Observe but do not hire.

At the end of this phase, the **best candidate seen so far is A (Rank 4)**.

#### Step 3: Selection Phase (Hire the First Better Candidate)

Now, we evaluate the remaining candidates and hire the first candidate better than Rank 4.

- Candidate **B** arrives (Rank **2**)
  - Rank **2** < Rank **4** (Best seen so far) **V** Hire B.
  - Stop the process (no further evaluation).

### Final Decision: Hired Candidate = B (Rank 2)

## 4. Another Numerical Example

Let's analyze **another random arrival order** for the same set of candidates.

## New Arrival Order:

 $E \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow F$ 

#### Step 1: Compute `k`

(Same as before, `k = 2`)

#### Step 2: Learning Phase (`k=2` Observations)

- Candidate **E** arrives (Rank **5**) → Observe.
- Candidate **B** arrives (Rank **2**)  $\rightarrow$  Observe.

At the end of this phase, the **best seen candidate = B (Rank 2)**.

#### **Step 3: Selection Phase**

- Candidate **A** arrives (Rank **4**)
  - Rank 4 > Rank  $2 \rightarrow$  **Do not hire**.
- Candidate **G** arrives (Rank **7**)
  - Rank **7** > Rank **2**  $\rightarrow$  **Do not hire**.
- Candidate **C** arrives (Rank **6**)
  - Rank  $\mathbf{6}$  > Rank  $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Do not hire}$ .
- Candidate **D** arrives (Rank **1**)
  - Rank **1** < Rank **2** <mark>2</mark> Hire D.
  - Stop the process.

### Final Decision: Hired Candidate = D (Rank 1, Best Overall)

# 5. Probability Analysis

### What is the chance of selecting the best candidate?

The probability of success follows the **Secretary Problem** formula:

$$P( ext{Best Candidate Selected}) = rac{1}{e} pprox 0.37$$

This means that the algorithm **selects the best candidate 37% of the time**, which is significantly better than **random selection (14%)**.

## 6. Why Does This Algorithm Work Well?

- We learn from early candidates (reducing risk).
- We avoid hiring too early (ensuring a better selection process).
- We increase the probability of picking the best candidate.

## 7. Worst-Case and Best-Case Scenarios

#### Worst Case

- The best candidate appears in the **first** `k` **candidates** (we never hire them).
- Example: If the best candidate (Rank 1) appears in the learning phase, they will be ignored.

#### **Best Case**

• The best candidate appears just **after the learning phase**, making them immediately hireable.

## 8. Summary & Key Takeaways

Factor	Naive Hiring	Randomized Algorithm
Probability of Best Candidate	Low (~14%)	~37%
Decision Time Complexity	O(n)	O(n)
Recall Allowed?	X No	XNo
Strategy	Pick first best candidate	Observe ` <b>k</b> `, then pick first better

### **Key Insights**

- The randomized strategy **triples the probability** of hiring the best candidate compared to naive approaches.
- The best **value of** `k` **is** `n/e`, balancing learning and selection phases.
- Works well in real-world hiring, auctions, and decision-making scenarios.

# 9. Additional Variations

- 1. **Multiple Positions Hiring:** Extend the strategy for hiring `m` out of `n` candidates.
- 2. **Cost-Based Hiring:** Introduce costs for interviewing candidates to optimize selection.
- 3. **Relaxed Recall:** Some real-world settings allow recalling the last `m` candidates.

## **10.** Conclusion

Randomized algorithms provide a **powerful solution** for hiring problems by **balancing observation and selection**. By carefully choosing **k** = **n**/**e**, we can maximize our chances of hiring the **best candidate** in an **efficient**, **probabilistic** manner.

@S S Roy, 23rd March, 2025